Notes on IP Board Meeting

9 April 1970

1. Policy re Executive Director-Comptroller ADP Approvals

Discussion centered principally around how this draft policy relates to hardware and software funded by outside organizations (largely DD/S&T circumstance). Some adjustments in language made on other aspects of this paper (Draft #8).

Action: to post changes and send clean copies to IPC's. Paper then to be submitted to Executive Director-Comptroller for review.

2. Policy re Remote Terminals

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Chairman asked for comments on this initial draft (4/7/70) covering policy and guidance re remote terminals:

Re restriction on time-sharing (para. 1 f), feels all centers should be allowed to proceed into time-sharing and not just OCS. NPIC has to have time-sharing (note: para. 1 f. relates only to Hqs. Bldg.) and CRS, if it runs QUIKTRAK, needs time-sharing. Even without QUIKTRAK, CRS may need it for its other ADP services. Suggested may be conflict between paras. 1 d. and 1 f. Said para. 2, on approval procedures, seemed bureaucratic.

: RID does not need the inter-center switching provided for in para. 1 d. Remote terminals hooked to RID are exclusively for RID's use, not the Area Divisions, and the only computers they need to query are the RID computers.

programmers might not want to access from DD/P remotes the OCS computers for program compile and test services.

said possibly.)

feels RID should not be

25X1

25X1

denied time-sharing on its own computers... but he pointed out the definition what is meant by "time-sharing" is not clear.

Declass Review by NGA Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000300010024-6 Need to define in the paper what is meant by R/T equipment and by "remote service stations".

Para 1 c. fosters too strongly remote service stations versus individual remote terminals. In the long run, CIA will want individual terminals, almost like telephones. Must be handy to user. Eventually, such remote services will become cost effective. Must be careful not to establish policies now which impede desirable development. For example, it is conceivable that secretaries all over the Agency should have access to computers for text editing. May even want small, local computers for use only by secretaries.

pointed out RID has implemented IBM's Administrative Terminal System which provides for text editing.)

25X1

Para <u>l d.</u>, as regards compatibility, is unhelpful until we have defined the tech specs of a flexible data switching system for Hqs. with which this paragraph says remote terminals should be compatible.

Para. <u>le.</u>, intent not clear. (<u>Note</u>: intent here was to formally recognize we are permitting the development of remote terminal services for non-time share processing by all three ADP centers in Hqs. building... as contrasted with time-share services authorized in only one (OCS) of the three centers.)

Para. 1 f., which restricts development of time-share services to OCS, he agrees with if by time-share was meant "paging", "roll in/out", "virtual memory" capabilities because these are such costly techniques that only one development effort should be sponsored. However, if turn-around times short enough to provide satisfactory interactive support via remote terminals can be provided through use of normal operating systems, local centers should be allowed to provide such service.

25X1

Need to define in the paper what is meant by R/T equipment and by "remote service stations".

Paral c. fosters too strongly remote service stations versus individual remote terminals. In the long run, CIA will want individual terminals, almost like telephones. Must be handy to user. Eventually, such remote services will become cost effective. Must be careful not to establish policies now which impede desirable development. For example, it is conceivable that secretaries all over the Agency should have access to computers for text editing. May even want small, local computers for use only by secretaries. pointed out RID has implemented IBM's Administrative Terminal System which provides for text editing.)

Para. 1 d., as regards compatibility, is unhelpful until we have defined the tech specs of a flexible data switching system for Hqs. with which this paragraph says remote terminals should be compatible.

Para. <u>le.</u>, intent not clear. (<u>Note</u>: intent here was to formally recognize we are permitting the development of remote terminal services for non-time share processing by all three ADP centers in Hqs. building... as contrasted with time-share services authorized in only one (OCS) of the three centers.)

Para. 1 f., which restricts development of time-share services to OCS, he agrees with if by time-share was meant "paging", "roll in/out", "virtual memory" capabilities because these are such costly techniques that only one development effort should be sponsored. However, if turn-around times short enough to provide satisfactory interactive support via remote terminals can be provided through use of normal operating systems, local centers should be allowed to provide such service.

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : Ch2-RDP78B05703A000300010024-6

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000300010024-6

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

	Para. 2., seems heavily bureaucratic. Given
$\sqrt{1}$	guidelines, can't local echelons approve their own remote
	terminals coordinating with DD/S? 25X
	Technical Facilities Committee should not be an approval
	point but rather a response point or action point for DD/S
	services. The Technical Facilities Committee ought to
	see to it that DD/S components follow through in responding
	to ADP requirements.
	replied that his committee would not
1	undertake this responsibility directly, but rather the requesting
	component should deal on work orders with a new coordinating
	group headed by DOMCA with OS and OL participation.)
	- Most points already made. Clearly need
	to rework para. 2. on approval procedures.
	to rework para. 2. on approval procedures.
	- Guidance on R/T's is needed. Any guidance
	better than none. Glad IP Board is trying to work it out,
	this paper being a start. Role of this committee should
	perhaps be spelled out more in this paper.
	- Chairman: This draft is admittedly very preliminary.
	Perhaps need new paper which (a) states the problem, (b)
	outlines guidance, and (c) assigns approval responsibility to
	the Directorates.
	Para. 2., on approval procedures will be fully
	reworked.
	In Para. 1 d., may need fuller definition of the
	switching requirement and perhaps costs.
	to make a supplied to the Board's
	Action: to prepare new draft, reflecting Board's discussion.
	discussion.
2	COINS
3.	COINS
	passed out copies of response to 25X
Directo	, NSA, on COINS.

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78B05703A000300010024-6

Approved For Release 2004/02/11 : CIA-RDP78 05703 000300010024-6

25X1

원 (15) - 기교 (15	
4. Survey of Document Control Cavea	ats
in use by CIA had been compiled by	document control caveats OS, and that a 25X1
memo listing same was ready for transmit concerned. The Board noted same.	ttal to the IHC subcommittee
5. Balance of Agenda postponed.	
	25X1

		ТО		INITIALS	DATE
CHIEF	=				
DEPU	TY			,	
EX. 0	FF				
STAF	F				
SECR	ETARY	<u> </u>			
Ch/AII				lob	4/29
DCh/A	ID.			- APP-	
See			net	a .	
Ch/kp				<u> </u>	
DCh/R	D		7.	7.	
Ch/RR	D				
DCh/R	RD				
Stene -		- 4	, `,	0 /	minuter. Verminale
Nu	eroblem	s with	items in	attached	minutei.
, ,	DV 1de	brett	Policy re	Remote	Termenda
Sounds-	life in		in FHI	t now gro	posing
, /	short a	down	21, 21	emain in	

CENTER OUTING SLIP

913

D .	DI	Har	inin	5	23 Cy
то		INITIALS	DATE	R	EMARKS
DIRECTOR					
DEP/DIRECTOR				1-2+3	•
EXEC/DIRECTOR	-2	>4	5/4	Would	opprenati
SPECIAL ASST	1				Lommer
ASST TO DIR				very mi	
HISTORIAN				on the al	opprecials L comment Sached NPIC
CH/PPBS				pumman	
			ļ	moderni	f a problems
DEP CH/PPBS EO/PPBS				with the	temo descine
				or general	observation
CH/IEG				Are the	ans items
DEP CH/IEG				us alml	d spenfink
EO/IEG				be reaction	あなく
CH/PSG	2	2.7.	274	76	09
DEP CH/PSG					
EO/PSG					
Ch/AID/PSL	3	ted	4/29		
CH/TSSG					
DEP CH/TSSG					
EO/TSSG					
CH/SSD/TSSG					
PERSONNEL					
LOGISTICS					
TRAINING					
RECORDS MGT					
SECURITY					
FINANCE					
DIR/IAS/DDI					
CH/DIAXX-4					
CH/DIAAP-9					